

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

~~SECRET~~

TO : Chief, Far East Division, DD/P
INFO : Chief, Intelligence School, OTR
FROM : Director of Communications

OC-4497
DATE: 5 APR 1966

SUBJECT: Vietnam Orientation Seminar

25X1A9a
25X1A

1. [REDACTED], Office of Communications representative, 25X1A
attended Vietnam Orientation No. 5, 21-25 March 1966. [REDACTED] 25X1A9a
considered the course to be most beneficial to his position within the
OC where he is engaged in providing communications support. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] to Vietnam operations of the DD/P. [REDACTED] did 25X1A9a
feel, however, that the orientation should have included a scheduled
communications briefing for the benefit of other seminar members. A
recommendation to this effect was included in [REDACTED] required 25X1A9a
critique of the orientation.

2. The purpose of this memorandum is to endorse [REDACTED] 25X1A9a
recommendation and to request a scheduled OC presentation in subsequent
runnings of the Vietnam Orientation. A time allotment of at least one
hour would permit us to highlight the communications support presently
provided and planned within Vietnam.

[REDACTED]

FOIAb3b

JOHN W. COFFEY

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

OC

2D00

EXTENSION

6628

NO.

OC-4497

DATE

5 APR 1966

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. Chief, FE
5D 31 07 Hqs.

2.

3.

CE / [REDACTED]

6 APR 1966

6 APR 1966

✓

4.

5.

ACFE / [REDACTED]

6

PC

6.

DC / [REDACTED]

7

[Signature]

7.

8.

9.

[REDACTED]

12.

13.

14.

15.

3-5
Seems a good idea. Can you work it in?

to 10: I'm not sure this is a "good idea" — What say ye?!

25X1A9a